

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
MINSMERE - Dunwich Cliffs to Thorpeness													
248	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Dunwich Heath - part of Minsmere-Walberswick designations	Coastal squeeze, Water pollution - agriculture/run off; Inappropriate coastal management, Undergrazing; Public access/disturbance	Yes	Yes	Recreational value and conservational value	International	E	Local community and local wildlife	Loss of car parks could restrict access.	No - BAP targets for several key habitat types	No - The combination of habitats create an exceptional area of scientific interest.	To maintain favourable conservation status and public access.
249	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Dunwich Heath BAP habitats	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
648	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Café in Coastguard Cottages	Potential loss of business through erosion	Yes	Yes	Regional economy	Regional	C	Regional and local communities		No	No	To maintain Coastguard Cottages
649	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Public toilets in NT car park	Potential loss of public facility through erosion	Yes	Yes	Recreational value	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	Yes	To maintain facilities
650	Dunwich to Thorpeness	NT car park	Potential loss of public facility through erosion	Yes	Yes	Recreational value	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	Yes	To maintain facilities
252	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Suffolk Coasts and Heath Path	Importance of maintaining continuity. Sandlings. Walk long distance route (Ipswich - Southwold) makes use of St James Street, Dunwich and Dunwich Heath.	no	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	Yes	To maintain long distance path
253	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Coastguard Cottages	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Historical value	Local	HA	Local community	Increased pressure from public disturbance could result in further erosion.	No	No	To protect properties from loss due to erosion
254	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Coastguard Cottages	At risk from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To protect properties from loss due to erosion
255	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Properties at Eastbridge and isolated properties to the south, along Minsmere-Sizewell frontage.	The low lying area between Minsmere and Sizewell is currently the subject of an Environment Agency flood management study. The study has its own timetable and consultation process. Management of this frontage has implications for the open coast and the hinterland.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Regional and local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of properties from flooding and erosion
256	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere-Sizewell frontage	Potential flood risk leading to loss of tourism to the area	Yes	Yes	Value to regional economy as tourism resource	Regional	R	Regional community		No	No	To maintain tourism value
257	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere RSPB reserve - part of Minsmere-Walberswick designations and flagship reserve	Parts of the ridge the reserve are of a low standard, but maintaining the line could result in damage through coastal squeeze to the shingle habitats fronting them.	Yes	Yes	Conservation value	National, International	E	Broader Society	A sustainable approach may be to allow intertidal and marine habitats to develop over the medium and long term, and to recreate freshwater habitats at more sustainable locations inland.	No	Yes	To maintain site in favourable condition
259	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere RSPB reserve BAP habitats	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere RSPB reserve visitor centre, car park and amenities	sea level rise, coastal erosion	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; economic value; educational value; conservation value	Local	HA	Local community	Loss of reserve would affect the usage of the visitor centre facilities	Yes	Yes	To protect properties from loss due to sea level rise and coastal erosion
266	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere-Sizewell frontage	Concern that inappropriate management would damage landscape value to the area.	no	Yes	Integrated value to local and regional economy	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To develop policy in an integrated manner, maintaining the overall well being and natural landscape of the area
267	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Housing in Eastbridge	At risk of flooding from adjacent marshland.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To protect properties from loss due to flooding
268	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Eastbridge Marshes	Likelihood of increased freshwater flooding and saline incursion in the future. A more sustainable approach may therefore be to allow intertidal and marine habitats to develop over the medium and long term, and to recreate freshwater habitats at more sustainable locations inland.	Yes	Yes	Conservation value	Local	E	Local community and local wildlife	Breach of coastal defences	No	Yes	To protect in-situ habitats unless deemed unsustainable and maintain favourable condition for site.
270	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Eastbridge Marshes BAP habitat	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
272	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere, first Leiston Abbey (SAM 162 now 214047)	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Historical value	National	H	Broader Society		No	No	To maintain the historic integrity of the site
273	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere sluice outfall	Has moderating effect on shape of shoreline to Sizewell.	Yes	Yes	Important outfall structure	Local	I	Local communities		No	No	To maintain drainage function
274	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere sluice outfall	Is location sustainable in the future?										
275	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Minsmere Level East	High historic landscape value.	no	Yes	Historical value	Regional	H	Local and regional communities		No	No	To maintain existing natural landscape and character
276	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Track to sluice through RSPB reserve	Maintenance access.	Yes	Yes	Important for maintaining sluice	Regional	HA	Local and regional communities		No	Yes	To maintain safe access to the sluice structure
277	Dunwich to Thorpeness	RSPB Water management infrastructure	Loss of water level control if system flooded out.	Yes	Yes	Important control structure	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To maintain integrity of control structure
278	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Sizewell Belts	There is some vulnerability to saltwater incursion due to the low standard of a flood defence close to the site. This issue is being considered as part of the Minsmere frontage study.	Yes	Yes	Environmental value	Local	E	Local community	Breach of coastal defences	No	No	To maintain freshwater habitat
280	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Sizewell Belts BAP habitat	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
282	Sizewell	Sizewell Marshes SSSI	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Stringent means of maintaining the conservation value of Sizewell Marshes.	National	E	Broader Society	Development could compromise integrity of site.	No - BAP targets for several key habitat types	No - Sizewell Marshes are of exceptional interest for their invertebrate fauna.	To maintain site integrity, favourable condition and avoid deterioration

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
283	Sizewell	Sizewell Power Stations	Currently protected by maintained soft flood defences. Is this sustainable – even beyond 100 year period? Is there an alternative approach to flood defence and is this desirable? What impact if a third station built on site? Potential use of site for storage of Low Level Waste (Local consultation underway).	Yes	Yes	Commercial value	National	C	Regional and National communities		No	No	To prevent loss of power stations due to flooding and erosion
651	Sizewell	Café on beach and public toilets	Potential loss of business and facilities through flooding	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local communities		No	Yes	To maintain facilities
652	Sizewell	Public car park	Potential loss of public facility through erosion	Yes	Yes	Recreational value	Local	R	Local communities		No	Yes	To maintain facilities
653	Sizewell	Inshore fishing fleet facilities	Potential impact on fishing industry and local economy	Yes	Yes	Value to regional economy	Regional	C	Regional communities		No	No	To maintain facilities
654	Sizewell	Vulcan public house	Potential flooding risk to business through flooding	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local communities		No	No	To maintain facilities
655	Sizewell	Sizewell Hall	Potential erosion of property and business through erosion	Yes	Yes	Important for local events and recreation	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	To protect Sizewell Hall from loss due to flooding or erosion
656	Sizewell	Sizewell caravan site	Potential erosion of property and business through erosion	Yes	Yes	Value to regional economy	Regional	R	Regional communities		No	Yes	To maintain integrity of the caravan park
657	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Cliff top properties between Sizewell and Thorpeness	Potential erosion of properties	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	HA	Local communities		No	No	To protect properties from loss due to erosion
284	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Kittiwake colony CWS	Sited on Sizewell A outfall structure. This issue is being dealt with as part of the decommissioning of Sizewell A	Yes	Yes	Tourism, ornithological and recreation value	Local	E	Local community	Removal of outfall structure as part of decommissioning process.	Yes	Yes	None related to SMP policy
285	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Sizewell Beach CWS	Coastal squeeze Coastal erosion	Yes	Yes	Conservation value Local community	Local	E	Local community and local wildlife	Development could compromise public access to site.	Yes	Yes	To maintain conservation value and public access
286	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Coastguard watch house on beach	Grade II listed.	Yes	Yes	Grade II listed building of historical value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain the listed structure
287	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Sizewell and Dunwich Banks	Provide wave energy attenuation to the Sizewell frontage. Need to avoid adverse disturbance to these relic features.										
288	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Sizewell and Dunwich Banks BAP habitats	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
291	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Properties in Sizewell village	At risk of flooding.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people, value to local economy	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To protect properties from loss due to flooding
292	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Sizewell Cliffs	Houses and Warden's field centre at risk of loss if cliffs erode.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people, recreational value	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To protect properties from loss due to erosion
293	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Footpaths	Cliff top footpath is Suffolk Coast and Heaths Path.	no	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	Yes	To maintain safe pedestrian access
294	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Thorpeness cliffs, beach, and common	These habitats depend on natural processes to maintain their interest. The continued erosion of cliffs in this area and the dynamic behaviour of vegetated shingle habitats are part of this interest	Yes	Yes	Conservation value	Local	E	Local community and local wildlife	Loss of footpaths would restrict access to this area.	Yes	Yes	To maintain conservation value and public access
296	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Thorpeness cliffs, beach, and common BAP habitats	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
301	Dunwich to Thorpeness	AONB	The way in which the coastline is managed may have an adverse effect on the landscape which contributes to this status.	no	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value	Regional	R	Local and regional communities		No	No	To maintain existing natural landscape and character
302	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Goose Hill (north) – prehistoric and later activity to south of marsh	High archaeological potential related to previous two entries.	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To protect archaeological features from loss due to flooding or erosion
303	Dunwich to Thorpeness	Leiston Abbey (over 1 km inland)	SAM 27 – scheduled area lies within 500m of coast.	Yes	Yes	Scheduled area of historical value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain integrity of scheduled area
305	Thorpeness	Property in village	At risk from erosion and flooding.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people, value to local economy	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To prevent properties from loss due to flooding and erosion
306	Thorpeness	Suffolk Coasts and Heath Path	Importance of maintaining continuity.	no	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	Yes	To maintain long distance path
658	Thorpeness	Dolphin public house	Potential loss of business through flooding	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of property due to flooding or erosion
659	Thorpeness	Café	Potential loss of business through flooding	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of property due to flooding or erosion
660	Thorpeness	Village shop	Potential loss of business through flooding	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of property due to flooding or erosion
661	Thorpeness	Thorpeness Meare	Potential loss of tourist attraction through flooding	no	Yes	Value to regional economy	Regional	R	Local and regional communities		No	No	To maintain tourism value
662	Thorpeness	Car park	Potential flooding risk	Yes	Yes	Recreational value	Regional	R	Local and regional communities		No	Yes	To maintain facility
307	Thorpeness	Thorpeness Meare	Freshwater lake suffering saline intrusion if flooded. A number of rare species have been recorded from the Meare in the past. A more sustainable approach may be to manage a change from current conditions to more saline ones, over time.	Yes	Yes	Conservation value	Local	E	Local community and local wildlife	Breach of coastal defences	No	No	To prevent loss of habitat due to saline intrusion
310	Snape	Gromford Meadow SSSI	In need of diversification, perhaps by more proactive management. Negative indicator Phragmites looks to be reduced in vigour and extent.	Yes	Yes	Conservation value and amenity value.	National	E	Broader Society	Pollution of springs or influx of saline water.	Yes (Nationally) - No (locally)	No - site possesses a small colony of Grass of Parnassus on the south-easterly edge of its British distribution.	To maintain site integrity and favourable conservation status. Maintain freshwater input from River Alde springs.
311	Thorpeness	Tourism facilities in Thorpeness	Threat to local economy if facilities and accommodation lost.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	No	To maintain facilities

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
-----	----------	---------	--------------------------------	-----------	---------------	---------------------------------	-------	-------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------------------------	----------------------------	------------

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
-----	----------	---------	--------------------------------	-----------	---------------	---------------------------------	-------	-------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------------------------	----------------------------	------------